УДК 81 DOI: 10.18413/2313-8912-2020-6-3-0-5

Alessandra Marabini Anna Angela Mezzina

Categorization of phraseological units: Russian/Italian expressions

Belgorod State National Research University 85 Pobedy St., Belgorod, 308015, Russia E-mail: marabini@bsu.edu.ru ORCID id: 0000-0001-7450-9115

University of Bari Aldo Moro 1, Piazza Umberto I, Bari, 70121, Italy E-mail: anna.mezzina@uniba.it ORCID id: 0000-0002-4716-7882

Received 10 June 2020; accepted 12 September 2020; published 30 September 2020

Abstract

The study on phraseology is wide and complex, since it examines different aspects of an entire linguistic branch. The current article takes into consideration the typology proposed by the Italian linguist Federica Casadei, which will serve as a basis for the authors' categorization of specific Russian expressions. The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the full and partial correspondence of Italian and Russian phraseological units through a new schematization, and, at the same time, to propose a new categorization of the phraseological units applied to Russian expressions.

Italian and Russian theories on phraseology are examined and compared. Italian theory is more focused on the classification of the phraseological units: idioms, sayings, transparent locutions, opaque locutions and higher lexical units. Russian studies are more oriented to cognitive aspects related to the metaphorization of phraseological units. However, linguists agree that stability and reproducibility are the two main criteria that combine all phraseological units.

The main issue when translating from one language into another is that of conveying the source meaning through processes of paraphrase. The result is that some Russian denominations of specific entities can be classified as monomorphemes and some do not constitute part of non-autonomous group as they do in Italian.

On the basis of the differences among categories, a hypothesis about the categorization of some Russian phraseological units is proposed. Furthermore, we underline the importance of metaphorization as a key element for understanding phraseological units. Through metaphors, native speakers can express figurative meanings and innate mental representations.

Keywords: phraseological unit; metaphorization; idiom; categorization; comparison; cognitive processes.

How to cite: Marabini A., Mezzina Anna A. (2020). Categorization of phraseological units through the comparison of some Russian/Italian expressions. *Research Result. Theoretical and Applied Linguistics*, V.6 (3), 58-71, DOI: 10.18413/2313-8912-2020-6-3-0-5

Introduction

Phraseology is an integral part of each nation's heritage. It is through phraseology that the mentality and lifestyle of a given society are transmitted and reflected. Phraseology provides invaluable clues to understanding the thought processes of any population. It represents the treasury of any language, because phraseology reflects the history of people and their national character. Theoretical linguistics has a discipline devoted to studying steady speech expressions and the locutions called phraseological units (Korobejnikova, 2017: 6). The heterogeneity of the elements involved in phraseology has made it a meeting point for various branches of linguistics (semantics, syntax, pragmatics), for approaches (from frame semantics to the construction of grammar), and for different fields of application, from phraseography (the description of phraseologisms in dictionaries) to language teaching.

The study of systemic links both between phraseologisms and the general language system of significant units is one of the main tasks of phraseology within the framework of structural linguistics (Jarceva, 1990).

Phraseology emerged as an independent linguistic discipline relatively recently, about half a century ago. In Russian linguistics, Potebnja, I.I. Sreznevskij, Shakhmatov and others established the fundamental assumptions for the theory of phraseology. In the 1940s the ideas of the French linguist Charles Bally (about fixed word combinations characterized by inner cohesion) influenced the development of phraseology. The research on phraseology lexicographic stimulated practice influenced the studies of V.V. Vinogradov's concept of phraseology and its duties. In the 1950s, similarities and differences between phraseologisms and word combinations (called ustojchivye sochetanija) became the focus of attention, and phraseology limited itself to finding the criteria for phraseological

units (Benigni, Ramusino, Mollica, Schafroth, 2015: 277).

I.A. Melchuk in 1998 introduced the concept of "phrasemes" or "set phrases" in opposition to "free phrases" and gave the following definition: "A phraseme is a phrase in which the signified and signifier cannot be constructed both unrestrictedly and regularly" (Melchuk, 1995: 175). Unrestrictedly here means that the dictionary rules can be chosen arbitrarily in order to verbalize a conceptual representation. Regularly, instead, refers to the components of the word combination that must be exclusively combined, according to the grammatical and semantic rules of the language (Iordanskaja, 2007: 225-226).

Nowadays, in the Russian field, the development of phraseology is characterized by new methods and objects of research based on systematic and cognitive analysis of the language. Particular attention is paid to the semantic of the phraseological units, nominative aspect, the formation phraseological units in its dynamics, the sign of the word components, the comparative typological study of the phraseological composition, and the elaboration phraseologisms in dictionaries. Of particular note are the theoretical contributions by Dobrovol'skij and Piirainen to the problem of cross linguistic comparability of idiomatic structures (they introduced the notion of "functional equivalence") (Benigni, Ramusino, Mollica, Schafroth, 2015: 277). V.N. Telija also made a significant contribution, underlining the concepts of motivation and inner form, as well as that of phraseologisms as elements of secondary indirect nomination, represented by any kind interaction syntagmatic of components in the process of rethinking and forming a new meaning of the original combination or of the single word. According to Telija, phraseologisms are an integral part of the nominative inventory of a language, which is needed to name individual fragments of the reality (Telija, 1996: 75).

However, another definition developed among the European phraseologists correlated

phraseologisms to the theory of Construction Grammar, the basic feature of which is represented by the constructions stored in the native speakers' memory as single blocks, rather than assembled according to the rules of syntax and connotation. Current theory aims at finding theoretical models adequate for the description of phraseological units, whose form and content are difficult to predict. Another important characteristic of the Construction Grammar approach is that the phraseological sphere is not different from the productive grammatical sphere, but it rather considers the so-called continuum vocabulary between syntax (Dobrovol'skij, 2016: 9).

Within Construction Grammar collocations are considered part of phraseological spectrum, and this encouraged the establishment of syntactical (such as substitution, inversion, tests focalization, isolation and passivization) and semantic criteria (such as noncompositionality, desemantization of and capacity to constituents designate conceptual units). These allow phraseologisms to be distinguished in a strict sense from similar constructions. Nevertheless, it has also demonstrated that the boundary between the two often remains difficult to determine (Benigni, Ramusino, Mollica, Schafroth, 2015: 277). Therefore, the section about materials will outline clarification of similar constructions.

Purpose of the Paper

This paper will examine Italian and Russian phraseological units on the basis of the typology proposed by the Italian linguist Casadei. Partial and full correspondences exist between the two language locutions, which are significant aspects for the purposes of our investigation. Indeed, the focus is on finding similarities, affinities and analogies between two languages that are typologically different. The phraseological branches of Italian and Russian linguistics also present different terminological structures and categorizations. Therefore, the aim of this

study is to apply Casadei's classification to some Russian expressions.

Material and Methods of research

At this point arises a discussion around the difference between phraseology and and around idiomaticity. the terminology used in this sphere. The term 'phraseological unit' has increasingly been used in phraseological research. According to Kunin, "a phraseological unit is a stable combination of words with a fully or partially figurative meaning" (Kunin, 1970: 210). Kunin's definition includes two inherent properties of phraseological units: stability and figurative meaning, which differentiate these units from free word combinations and also from sets of expressions which are stable, but which do not have any figurative meaning. Moreover, Kunin suggests that phraseological embrace units proverbs. Actually, the study of proverbs is most often included in a separate discipline, that of paremiology (Naciscione, 2010: 19).

Therefore, stability and reproducibility are the main features of phraseological units: stability is the fixity and the compositionality of the meaning of phraseological units, while reproducibility is the capacity of individuals to reproduce phraseological units as readymade complete units (Casadei, 1994; Casadei, 1995).

Besides the two above-mentioned properties, N.M. Shanskij proposed other features: separability (phraseological units function as separate-formed formations, consisting of several words), and constancy and integrity of meaning (phraseological units appear as a whole even if their meaning reflects the meaning of the components forming them) (Shanskij, 1963: 30-33).

Idiomaticity refers to idiom, which can be defined as "a combination of more than one lexeme whose meaning is not derived from the meaning of its constituents" (Strassler, 1982: 79). Moreover, terminology may change; for instance, the linguist Rosamund Moon uses the term idiom to refer to "any sequence of two or more words that function together as a unit" (Moon, 1988:

108), but in other studies she uses other terms, such as fixed expressions and idioms (Naciscione, 2010: 18).

Casadei gives a general definition of idiomatic expressions: "conventional expressions of a language characterized by the combination of a fixed meaning (little or not at all modifiable) with a compositional meaning (which, unlike the literal or compositional meaning, cannot be derived from the meanings of the components of the expression)" (Casadei, 1995: 335). According to this definition, the term idiomatic can indicate different cases of semantic non-predictability or non-literality expressions including and idiomatic sentences, stereotypes, locutions, fixed formulas, clichés and sayings.

Terminology poses an important question about whether the terms "phraseological unit" and "idiom" can be treated as synonyms. We can assume linguist Lala Masimova's conclusion is valid: the term phraseological unit was introduced Russian linguists and is mainly used in Russia and Eastern Europe. The term idiomaticity refers to the mechanism that enables phrases to take on meanings that go beyond the meaning of their parts. Idiomaticity, however, could be applied also to single words and not only to phrases. Idiomatic words can be defined only as a part of phraseological units that are instead sets of terms, which refer only to two or more phrases (Masimova, 2018: 13-14).

The question on proper terminology continues within studies of modern Italian. A. Cicalese uses the term "modo di dire" (common saying), an expression or idiom that generally indicates the combination of a fixed signifier (little or not at all modifiable) with a non-compositional signified (see also Casadei, 1994; Casadei, 1995; Cacciari, Glucksberg 1995; Casadei, 1996). In addition to this, Italian literature introduced the term 'polirematic expressions' (espressioni polirematiche / plurilessicali), multiword forms with structural and semantic cohesion. The Italian approach appears to be structural

and lexical-syntactically oriented: particular attention is paid to the pragmatic and of syntagmatic cohesion multiword expressions, that is, to the rules that regulate the existence of more lexical elements in a unicum. However, a general subdivision of phraseological units can be made as follows: idiomatic expressions with certain lexicalsyntactic characteristics, the meanings of which are difficult to grasp from their components (also called "transparent expressions"); literal expressions, where it is to define the semantic articulation of the components; and halfidiomatic expressions, that are in between locutions and transparent idiomatic expressions (they are also called "opaque locutions").

In Italian linguistics, Maurizio Dardano another introduces phraseological units" "higher lexical (unità lessicali which superiori), own inseparable components. "Changes that can be brought in free word groups are instead not always possible for higher lexical units. For example, the linguistic unit 'sala da pranzo' (dining room) and the word group 'sala per ricevere gli ospiti' ('a room to receive guests' / reception room) are different from one another, since we would accept the adjective 'grande' (big) only in the second syntagm and the result will be 'una grande sala per ricevere gli ospiti' (a large room to receive guests), but not in the first one. The idiomatic expression in comparison to the lexical unit, apart from the definite degree of lexicalization, owns a high level of idiomatization, which protects the stability of the syntagm. However, the syntagm 'sala da pranzo', for example, can also be used in speech in a disjointed way, of whilst the components idiomatic expression cannot be divided" (Dardano, Trifone, 1997: 552).

Simonetta Vietri's approach to idiomatic expressions in the Italian language is different, since she analyses them from a syntactic-lexical perspective. Her work has developed as part of the "Progetto Lessico Grammatica della Lingua Italiana" project. In

her examination, idiomatic expressions are viewed as syntactically free structures with one or more invariable components. The variable components instead are subject to two distributional and grammatical variations. distributional one, The composed synonyms, adjectives or relative clauses, deprives the original idiomatic expression of its idiomaticity. On the other side, the grammatical variation in tense, mode, adverbs or modifiers does not affect the idiomatic meaning. Her conclusion is that a continuum between free and idiomatic sentences exists, and that variations are accepted only when the idiomatic meaning remains intact (Vietri, 1985: 18).

Nowadays in Russian linguistics the current approach appears to be cognitive and examines phraseological units in terms of mental nature. Within phraseological studies, only the components that have figurative and metaphorical meaning should be considered. The cognitive and psycholinguistic patterns assume that a basic concept exists within phraseological units, which is highlighted with the help of a word identifier that links a determined unit to the sphere of these concepts. The Russian cognitive approach is thus based on the study of those internal phraseological correlations. Furthermore, metaphors play a significant role in the of phraseological formation units. The metaphorization process of the "verbalization by an individual of the knowledge he acquires about the world and himself" (Zubkova, 2008: 128).

Results

Casadei sketched a typology of idioms in the Italian language and proposed a definition of "idiomatic expressions" (Casadei, 1995: 335-358). The current takes this categorization consideration and assumes it as a basis for a classification hypothesis applied to Russian phraseological units. According to Casadei, figurative expressions are divided into type V (verb: vuotare il sacco – ne tait' grecha, tirare le cuoia - kondartij chvatil), type N (noun: patata bollente – zhguchii

zlobodnevnyj vopros, testa di cuoio specnaz), type Adj (adjective: all'acqua di rose – poverchnostyj) and type Adv (adverb: meglio bell'e koe-kak). examination includes at first sight all cases of non-predictable non-literal or semantics (morphemes, sayings, proverbs, and indirect speech acts) and other phenomena (stereotypes, formulas, clichés, fixed phrases, binomial, and trinomial expressions) which appear fixed and conventional. After this, all those classes are subject to criteria of idiomaticity – non compositionality, non conventionality, fixity and semantic nonpredictability.

The author excludes monomorphematic forms, since it is not possible to predict their semantics. However, some monomorphematic forms which own morphologically complex characteristics are taken into account (ciao – privet - because it is a greeting formula, leone – lev - because, apart from the literal meaning, it has a figurative meaning, that of 'courageous person', also bustarella – vzjatka, and rompiscatole – zanuda).

Furthermore, the author excludes all those forms that are subject to mechanisms of metaphorization, irony and indirectness, when they occur occasionally and creatively. The reference is here to those expressions like "essere una matita" used in sentences like "quella ragazza è una matita" where matita (karandash) alludes to a person's figure. However, the expressions of the type 'essere un/una x', 'fare il/lo x' are conventional metaphors and they can be included in the classification, also for the reason that they represent predictable metaphors (fare burattino, legkomyslennyj chelovek vetrenik).

In the categorization of idiomatic expressions proposed by Casadei (Casadei, 1995: 335-358), it is important to keep in mind the degree of lexical saturation, which divides the expressions into lexically saturated, partially saturated and patterned (lexically restricted and lexically opened), which is applied to indirect acts. The degree of lexical saturation depends on the addition

ofdifferent lexical variants the modification of some elements. An example of a lexically saturated expression is, tirare le cuoia (kondartij chvatil) which can be used with a modifier, as in the sentence 'tirò subito le cuoia' (on umer srazu). Partially saturated expressions usually include a lexical variable element that can be a possessive, a determiner or a quantifier: a mio avviso (po-moemu), in primo / secondo luogo (vo-pervych / vovtorich). The patterned ones are schemes, indirect acts, and proverbs. An example of scheme is: 'Det n ESSERE (Det) N' used in cases such as 'Gli affari sono affari', 'I figli sono figli' (biznes est' biznes, deti est' deti).

As a result, the lexically saturated and the partially saturated are, thereafter, in accordance with the paradigm of conventionality. Conventionality concerns the degree of non-newness of an expression and the link of a given expression with a communicative function, a pragmatic purpose or a context of use. Thus, the fully or partially lexically saturated expressions include institutionalized expressions, stereotypes (clichés, quoted corpus) and formularies.

Institutionalized expressions are mono and polirematic expressions with figurative meaning (bustarella - vzjatka, vuotare il sacco - ne tait' grecha, all'acqua di rose poverkhnostnyj), denominations of specific entities (disco fisso / rigido - zhëstkij disk, giacca a vento - vetrovka, donna delle pulizie uborshchica, macchina da scrivere pishushchaja mashina), grammatical locutions (dopo di che – posle togo kak, per lo chashche vsego), distributional più restrictions (essere alla disperazione – byt' dovedënnym do otchajanja) and irreversible binonims / trinonims (aglio e olio – chesnok i maslo, fante, cavallo e re - vatel, kon' i korol').

Stereotypes are divided into clichés (tragica scomparsa – tragicheskaja smert', scottante questione – zlobodnevnyj vopros, essere bello come una rosa – byt' krasivym kak roza), quoted corpus, proverbs and sayings (chi dorme non piglia pesci – bez truda ne vytashchit' i rybki iz pruda, il tempo

è denaro – vremja – den'gi), and quotes and aphorisms (cogito ergo sum – ja myslju, znachit sushchestvuju, de gustibus – o vkusakh ne sporjat).

Formularies are those linguistic illocutive, performative acts, as well as epistolary formulas, and conversational and textual messages (come va – kak dela, buon appetito – prjatnogo appetita, in bocca al lupo – ni pucha ni pera, vi dichiaro marito e moglie – ob''javljaju vas muzhem i zhenoj, per concludere – v zakljuchenie, a proposito – kstati, distinti saluti – s uvazheniem).

Therefore, Casadei (Casadei, 1995: 335-358) introduces a new lexical-syntactic criterion, that of fixity. Different types of fixity exist: fixity in the order of constituents, transformational fixity for a certain structure, and fixity in the inventory of components due to the impossibility of insertion, suppression and substitution. However, fixity does not hinder semantic variation: commutation in idiomatic expressions is possible only if the original idiomatic meaning is maintained intact. As a consequence of this, it is the idiomatic character of an expression that indicates whether that expression is idiomatic or not, and not the commutability. The idiomatic feature is the element that usually obstructs possible lexical and syntactic modifications.

The conflict between the diagrammatic and the holistic comes into focus, when considered from the perspective of semantics. indicates Diagrammaticity the relation between the signified and signifier, the transparency of which is gradual increases when the relation is one to one. The author refers to increased transparency with reference to a denominated entity like pesce palla – ryba-ëzh, a kind of fish, in contrast to the less transparent form *pellerossa* krasnokozhij, which is not a kind of skin. The holistic forms are subject to semiotic principles their diagrammatic and interpretation is not valid or is not uniquely valid. Examples of holistic forms include, pishushchaja da scrivere macchina mashina, bustarella - vzjatka, rompiscatole -

zanuda. In all these terms it is necessary to reinterpret the relation between the form in its entirety and its overall meaning and it is possible to talk about a greater or lesser transparency of the metaphorical motivation of the expression.

The diagrammatic / holistic distinction is very significant when categorizing the idiomatic and non-idiomatic expressions within institutionalized forms. The maximum degree of idiomaticity is represented by metaphorical and metonymic expressions. The lower level is represented by expressions with metaphoric and metonymic features that instead have a diagrammatic aspect: (donna cannone – zhenshchina – pushechnoe jadro). Below this class, there are some holistic expressions, represented by non-autonomous lexemes that occur only in certain locutions (andare a zonzo – brodit', andare a ruba – byt' narashvat). The grammatical holistic locutions (per lo più - chashche vsego) are positioned at a lower level still: their nondiagrammaticity does not allow full meaning to be given to its components.

Non idiomatic expressions are those expressions in which conventional meaning might not be predictable or might not be uniquely predictable. Examples of such verbal locutions include, essere alla disperazione – byt' dovedënnym do otchajanija, prendere quota – nabrat' vysotu, prendere il sole – zagorat' and prendere tempo – ne toropit'sja.

In Casadei's categorization (Casadei, 1995: 335-358) the diagrammatic / holistic distinction cannot be applied to stereotypes, clichés, proverbs, quotes and formularies

since their understanding implies a reference to cultural beliefs and conventions. They present a cultural-pragmatic character and for this reason they can be included in the wide domain of idiomatic expressions, but only in a lexical broader sense, as saturated expressions. On the contrary, if the semantic variable is privileged, the idiomatic expressions are of the holistic institutionalized type, while the others are labelled as clichés, proverbs, quotes, formulas and have distinctive aspects from the idiomaticity. However, for the purposes of the present paper, we agree with the broader conception and we examine all those classes in the following paragraph.

Findings and discussion

The analysis we conducted leads to consideration of comparative and typological types. When transferring the meaning from Italian to Russian, equivalence is not always univocal. It is therefore necessary emphasize the grammatical syntactic structure of the expressions and the type of connection the constituents, since idiomaticity can be full or partial. Full means that from the meaning of the components it is not possible to predict the meaning of the phraseological unit. Partial means that the meaning of the phraseologism becomes clear on the basis of some rethinking processes. The following scheme presents the abovementioned forms and clarifies the differences existing between the locutions of the two languages, Italian (the source language) and Russian target (the one):

Table 1: Comparison of forms and meanings of Russian and Italian expressions

Italian	Russian	Description
Vuotare il sacco	Ne tait' grecha	Both expressions are exhortations to reveal
		everything when you are wrong. Vuotare il sacco
		appears to be more figurative, since literally it means
		'to empty the bag'. Ne tait' grecha instead means 'to
		not hide the sin'.
Tirare le cuoia	Kondartij khvatil	The meaning of these expressions is 'to die'.
		There are a wide range of expressions corresponding
		to the event of dying; however, we chose Kondartij

Italian	Russian	Description
		khvatil because of the analogy to body rigidity after the death.
Patata bollente	Zhguchij / zlobodnevnij vopros	The Russian language uses the term <i>vopros</i> (<i>question</i>) instead of <i>patata</i> (<i>potato</i>) and the second alternative <i>zlobodnevnij vopros</i> would rather sound as <i>questione scottante</i> (<i>delicate issue</i>), which is also used in the Italian language.
Testa di cuoio	Specnaz	The source language expression refers to police officers, whose uniform is characterized by a leather hat; the target language expression is an abbreviation of 'voinskie podrazdelenie special'nogo naznachenija' (special purpose troops). Therefore, the two expressions allude to the same military unit, but the second one does not keep the specific feature of the hat.
All'acqua di rose	Poverkhnostnyj (adjective)	In this case the equivalence is null. <i>Poverkhnostnyj</i> is a paraphrase of the Italian idiomatic expression, which conserves the source meaning of 'superficial'.
Alla bell'e meglio	Koe-kak	The source expression refers to 'something that is done in a rush and without too much attention'. There is no grammatical correspondence; however, both are adverbial locutions.
Bustarella	Vzjatka	Here, there is a full correspondence between Italian and Russian and both terms belong to the class of nouns and are characterized by diminutive suffixes. Moreover, the Russian term can be considered a noun derived from the verb <i>vzjat</i> ' without the addition of any suffix. The meaning is 'bribe'.
Rompiscatole	Zanuda	Also in this case, the two expressions are nouns and there is full correspondence; however, it should be noticed that the first term has euphemistic sense and is a compound, formed by two parts, <i>rompi</i> (from the verb <i>rompere</i> – <i>to break</i>) and <i>scatole</i> (<i>boxes</i>) that refer to 'someone who is annoying'.
Fare il burattino	Legkomyslennyj chelovek, vetrenik	On a grammatical level, the Italian expression prefers the use of the construction with the verb <i>fare</i> (to do), whereas the Russian one uses the verb 'to be+noun' (on - legkomyslennyj chelovek). Moreover, legkomyslennyj is a compound of the adverb legko (light) and the adjective myslennyj (minded), so that legkomyslennyj chelovek is an empty-headed or frivolous person. This appears to be a different variation from the Italian one, where burattino is a marionette, thus it is the metaphor of a person who lacks personality or who is unreliable or manipulated by others. The metaphorical meaning hence falls apart in the Russian language.

Italian	Russian	Description
A mio avviso	Ро-тоети	This partially saturated expression includes a
		possessive adjective in Italian, whereas it has a
		'prepositional + dative' form in Russian. Their
		meaning is 'according to me'.
In primo / secondo	Vo-pervykh / vo-	(Firstly, secondly) Both languages have a
luogo	vtorykh	preposition as first element; however, the Italian word
G11 00 1	D	luogo is missing in the target language (at first).
Gli affari sono	Biznes est' biznes,	_
affari, I figli sono	deti est' deti	is business' and 'children are children'. The
figli		mentioned scheme 'definite article + noun + verb
		essere + (definite article) + noun', typical of the Italian language, is fully provided in Russian, except
		for the determinative article, which Russian lacks.
		Those structures are similar to another type of
		phraseological syntactic fixed constructions, called
		'patterns with empty spaces' (Baranov,
		Dobrovol'skij, 2013: 86), which provide some fixed
		and free positions, saturated by lexical material used
		in a referential sense and generally subject to
		grammatical or semantic restrictions. An example of
		these phraseologisms-construction is " $X - on i v$
		Afrike X'' (literally: ' X – anche in Africa è X'), where
		X has constant traits, independently on the situation,
		like in "krizis – on i v Afrike krizis" (la crisi è crisi
		ovunque), "rabota – ona i v Afrike rabota" (il lavoro
		<i>è lavoro ovunque</i>) (Baranov, Dobrovol'skij, 2013:
		86). The idiomatic value in these cases is in the structure itself as a whole, regardless of the lexicon
		that completes it, and its meaning is unitary, as if it
		was a lexeme (Ramusino, 2018: 107).
Disco fisso / rigido	Zhëstkii disk	Those are calques of the English IT term
Disco Justo / rigido	Zivestivij wisiv	(hard disk). They present equivalence of adjectives
		and nouns.
Giacca a vento	Vetrovka	It is interesting to notice that the Italian
		language prefers a locution constituted by 'noun +
		preposition + noun' where the Russian language
		adapts the noun from the word veter (wind). The
		meaning is 'wind breaker'.
Donna delle	Uborshchica	The same as above can be asserted about this
pulizie		expression. The Italian language has a 'noun + article
		+ noun' form, and the Russian adjusts the term from
		the verb <i>ubirat'</i> (<i>tidy up</i>). The meaning is 'house cleaner'.
Macchina da	Pishushchaja	While the Italian preposition 'da+infinitive'
scrivere	mashina	expresses the meaning of purpose, the Russian
Solveic		language adopts the adjective-participle form. The
		meaning is 'typewriter'.
	1	I mouning to type writter.

Italian	Russian	Description
Dopo di che / per	Posle togo kak/	These fixed structures are respectively
lo più	chashche vsego	conjunction and phrasal adverb, and, from a formal point of view, these phraseological materials are meant as spheres of irregular, idiomatic means of expression for grammatical relations. For this reason, they are represented as a combination of grammatical words (conjunctions, particles, prepositions, interjections, etc.,) (Ramusino, 2018: 107; Baranov, Dobrovol'skij, 2013: 83). These translate as 'after' and 'mostly'.
Essere alla disperazione	Byt' dovedënnyj do otchajanija	Both languages use the verb 'to be'; the Russian phraseologism uses a past passive participle with the meaning of 'to be driven to despair', the Italian uses a preposition and the meaning is 'to be in despair'.
Aglio e olio / fante,	Chesnok i maslo/	In both cases there is a full correlation
cavallo e re	vatel, kon' i korol'	between the two languages (garlic and oil, bishop, knight and king).
Tragica scomparsa	Tragicheskaja smert'	(Tragic death) The term <i>scomparsa</i> is a euphemism for 'death'; however, the two languages preserve the 'adjective + noun' structure.
Essere bello come una rosa	Byt' krasivym kak roza	The equivalence is full in this case (to be beautiful like a rose).
Chi dorme non piglia pesci	Bez truda ne vytashchit' i rybki iz pruda	These proverbs are similar in that they both refer to the action of catching fish as a metaphor meaning 'nothing is reached without hard work'; in order to convey this meaning, the Italian expression uses the verb <i>dormire</i> (to sleep) to refer to people's inactivity, whereas the Russian one is more explicit with the terms <i>bez truda</i> (without any work). In addition, the Russian proverb completes the sentence with the figure of the pond as place where the fish is caught. An English equivalent might be 'The early bird catches the worm'.
Il tempo è denaro	Vremja – den'gi	Those constructions are akin to each other (time is money).
Cogito ergo sum / de gustibus	Ja myslju, znachit sushchestvuju / o vkusakh ne sporjat	In the Italian language both expressions maintain their Latin original forms, but Russian translates from Latin. English is 'I think therefore I am'.
Come va	Kak dela	It was asserted above that the illocutive performative acts constitute formularies; therefore, the Italian and Russian forms are oriented in the same

Italian	Russian	Description
		way with the same purpose. Notwithstanding, the
		difference between the two formulas is that the Italian
		act uses the verb andare (to go) and the Russian act
		uses the word <i>dela</i> (<i>things</i>). The meaning is 'how are
		things'.
Buon appetito	Prjatnogo appetita	These are conversational acts (with the
		meaning of 'enjoy your meal') with full equivalence,
		despite the use of the genitive case in Russian.
In bocca al lupo	Ni pukha ni pera	There is no correspondence between these two
		expressions that wish one 'good luck'.
Vi dichiaro marito	Ob''javljaju vas	The correspondence is full and the meaning is
e moglie	muzhem i zhenoj	'I pronounce you husband and wife'.
Per concludere	V zakljuchenie	The two locutions (to conclude) present
		prepositions, but in Italian a verb is used, while
		Russian uses a noun.
A proposito /	Kstati / s uvazheniem	As conversational and epistolary messages (by
distinti saluti		the way and best wishes), they are fixed for each
		language, in the sense that each language presents its
		own grammatical characteristics.
Pesce palla	Ryba-ëzh	It is interesting to notice the different
_		conceptualization of this kind of fish: in Italian it is
		identified as a ball, while in Russian as a hedgehog.
		In English it is called a 'Puffer Fish'.
Pellerossa	Krasnokozhij	The equivalence is full and both <i>pellerossa</i>
		and krasnokozhij (redskin) are substantivized
		adjectives.
Donna cannone	Zhenshchina –	In Russian <i>jadro</i> is a cannon ball, but still the
	pushechnoe jadro	two forms match. The phrase in English translates to
		'a [female] human cannonball'.
Andare a zonzo	Brodit'	As was already mentioned, the term zonzo
		exists exclusively in this expression; its meaning is
		that of wandering, that is the way it is transferred into
		the Russian language. English is 'to go for a stroll'.
Andare a ruba	Byt' naraskhvat	The only difference between the two
		expressions meaning 'to go at a good price', is in the
		verb forms (in Italian 'andare' – to go, in Russian
		'byt'' – to be).
Prendere quota	Nabrat' vysotu	The two languages present full
D 1 11 1		correspondence (gain altitude).
Prendere il sole	Zagorat'	The source language uses an expression that
		might not be predictable, since the verb used is 'to
		take'. The correspondence is null. However, in Italian
		language, another verb exists, that of <i>abbronzarsi</i> (to
D	Ma tana miti mi	sunbathe), but it does not constitute a verbal locution.
Prendere tempo	Ne toropit'sja	The meaning of this expression is 'take your
		time'. The Russian variant uses a negative
		construction and a verb with the meaning 'to rush'.

On the basis of this analysis, it is possible to deduce that some Russian translations and adaptations do not belong to the same categories as Italian expressions. Some of them are just adjectives like poverkhnostnyj (superficial) which does not have any metaphorical meaning in contrast to the Italian expression all'acqua di rose. As far as the saturated expressions are concerned, opinion, the categorization appropriate for Russian expressions, but more for the partially saturated ones than the lexically saturated, since the lexical variable element can be inserted and it changes according to the meaning that the speaker wants to give (po-moemu, po-tvoemu). However, it is worth keeping in mind that in Russian, the lexical variable element can be a possessive or a quantifier, but not a determiner. Indirect speech acts, clichés and proverbs also closely match the Italian equivalent. Some of the Russian expressions categorized as denominations of specific entities are actually part of this class (zhëstkij disk and pishushchaja mashina), but others, being derivative forms of nouns or verbs, can rather be categorized as monomorphemes (vetrovka and uborshchica are, in this sense, closer to the examples of vzjatka and zanuda). On the other hand, the grammatical locutions (dopo di che – posle togo kak, per lo più – chashche vsego) present similarities, although they are irregular idiomatic expressions. The same cannot be asserted of the nonautonomous lexemes, which in Italian occur exclusively in certain kinds of locutions (andare a zonzo, andare a ruba). In Russian the correspondent forms (brodit', naraskhvat) are also used in other locutions and contexts.

Conclusion

In short, this examination has shown that the equivalence among the Italian and Russian phraseologisms can be full or partial, that translation and paraphrase processes can be applied to the target language in order to preserve the source metaphorical meaning, and, most of all, it underlined that the idiomatic meaning manifests itself through

phraseological units. The Italian and Russian theories presented above claim that Russian literature on phraseologisms is nowadays more focused on the cognitive aspects issued by metaphorical expressions. Casadei's study arrives at the conclusion transparency of the metaphorical motivation of the expression is the key to reinterpreting the relation between the form in its entirety and its overall meaning. Behind the stable vocabulary, there are physical, psychical and social situations that reflect cultural aspects. Therefore, expressions such as tirare le cuoia and vuotare il sacco are related to figurative perception and to mental representations that are innate in native speakers and they constitute part of the metaphorization process. Similarities, affinities and analogies are mental mechanisms that native speakers activate at a cognitive level. Through speech and experience, mental representations are issued and metaphors and phraseologisms are expressed.

Author contributions: the sections "Results", "Findings and discussion" and "Conclusion" have been written by Alessandra Marabini; the sections "Introduction", "Purpose of the Paper" and "Material and Methods of research" have been written by Anna Angela Mezzina.

References

Baranov, A.N. and Dobrovol'skij, D.O., *Osnovy frazeologii: kratkij kurs* [A framework for phraseology: a short course], Moskva: Flinta Nauka, 2013, 312 p. (in Russian).

Barkhudarov, L.S., *Yazyk i perevod*, [Language and translation], Moskva: Mezhdunarodnye otnoshenija, 1975, 240 p. (in Russian).

Benigni, V., Ramusino, P.C., Mollica, F. and Schafroth, E., How to apply CxG to Phraseology: a multilingual research project, *Journal of social sciences*, 11, №3, 2015, pp. 275-288 (in English).

Cacciari, C. and Glucksberg, S., Imaging idiomatic expressions: literal or figurative meanings?, in Everaert, Martin et al (ed.). *Idioms: Structural and psychological perspectives*, Psychology Press, 1995, pp. 43–56 (in English).

Casadei, F., La semantica nelle espressioni idiomatiche [Semantics in idiomatic expressions], *Studi italiani di linguistica teorica e applicata*, 1, № 23, 1994, pp. 61-81. (in Italian).

Casadei, F., Per una definizione di "espressione idiomatica" e una tipologia dell'idiomatico in italiano [For a definition of "idiomatic expression" and a typology of idiomaticity in Italian], Lingua e stile, 2, № 30, 335-358, 1995, Retrieved pp. from:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/32 8134348 Per una definizione di espressione idi omatica e una tipologia dell'idiomatico in itali ano_in_Lingua_e_stile_30_2_1995_pp_335-58 (Accessed 11 July 2019) (in Italian).

Casadei, F., Flessibilità lessico-sintattica e produttività semantica delle espressioni idiomatiche: un'indagine sull'italiano parlato [Lexico-semantic flexibility and semantic productivity of idiomatic expressions: a study on spoken Italian], in Casadei, F., Fiorentino, G. and Samek-Lodovici, V. (ed.), L' italiano che parliamo [The Italian we speak], FARA, Santarcangelo di Romagna, 1996, pp. 5-15 (in Italian).

Cicalese, A., I composti polirematici con struttura N A N [Polirematic compounds with N A N structure], in D'Agostino, E. (ed.), *Tra sintassi e semantica. Descrizioni e metodi di elaborazione automatica della lingua d'uso*. [Between syntax and semantics. Descriptions and methodologies of automated data processing of spoken language], Edizioni Scientifiche Italiane, Napoli, 1995, pp. 329–349 (in Italian).

Dardano, M. and Trifone, P., *La nuova grammatica italiana* [The new Italian grammar]. Bologna, Zanichelli, 1997, 768 p. (in Italian).

Dobrovol'skij, D.O., Grammatika Konstruktsij i Frazeologija [Construction Grammar and Phraseology], *Voprosy jazykoznanija*, RAN, №3, 2016, pp. 7-21 (in Russian).

Iordanskaja, L.N., Tipy frazem [Types of phrasemes], Iordanskaja, L.N. and in Melchuk, I. A. (ed.), Smysl i sochetaemost' v [Meaning and combinability slovare dictionaries], Jazyki slavianskikh kul'tur. Moscow, 2007, pp. 215-245 (in Russian).

Korobejnikova, V.E., Funktsonirovanie frazeologicheskikh edinits v khudozhestvennom diskurse i osobennosti ikh perevoda na russkij yazyk [Functioning of phraseological units in the artistic discourse and specificity of their translation into Russian language], Uralskij

gosudarstvennyj pedagogicheskij universitet, Yekaterinburg, 2017, 69 p. (in Russian).

Kunin, A. V., *Anglijskaja frazeologija* (teoreticheskiy kurs) [English phraseology: a theoretical course], Moscow: Vysshaja shkola, 1970, 344 p. (in Russian).

Lingvisticheskij entsiklopedicheskij slovar', V.N. Yartseva (ed.), Moscow: Sovetskaja Entsiklopedija, 1990, 685 p. (in Russian).

Masimova, L., Concept of phraseological units. Functional, structural and semantic classification of phraseological units, *World Science*, 8, № 6 (34), 2018, pp. 11-14. Retrieved from:

https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ws/12062018/5 885 (Accessed 11 July 2019) (in English).

Melchuk, I. A., Phrasemes in language and phraseology in linguistics, in Everaert, Martin et al (ed.). *Idioms: Structural and psychological perspectives*, Psychology Press, 1995, pp. 167-232 (in English).

Moon, R., Time and idioms, in Snell-Hornby, M. (ed.), *ZuriLEX '86 Proceedings*, Tubingen: A. Francke, 1988, pp. 107-115 (in English).

Naciscione, A., Stylistic use of phraseological units in discourse, Amsterdam / Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2010, 308 p. (in English).

Ramusino, P.C., "Kuda by tebja ni zaneslo...". Connettivi concessivi o fraseologismi sintattici? ["Kuda by tebja ni zaneslo...". Concessive Conjunctions or Syntactic Idioms?], in. Ferro, M.C, Salmon, L. and Ziffer, G. (ed.), Contributi italiani al XVI Congresso internazionale degli Slavisti, Firenze University Press, 2018, pp. 107-119 (in Italian).

Shanskij, N.M., *Frazeologija* sovremmenogo russkogo yazyka [The Phraseology of Contemporary Russian Language], Moscow: Vysshaja shkola, 1963, 156 p. (in Russian).

Strassler, J., *Idioms in English: a pragmatic analysis*, Tubingen: Gunter Narr, 1982, 160 p. (in English).

Telija, V.N., Ob"em fraseologii i tipy ee edinits [Volume of phraseology and types of phraseological units], in Telija V.N (ed.), Russkaja fraseologija. Semanticheskij, pragmaticheskij i lingvokulturologicheskij aspekty [Russian phraseology. Semantic, pragmatic and linguocultural aspects], Shkola «Yazyky russkoj kul'tury» Moscow, 1996, pp. 56-84 (in Russian).

Vietri, S., Lessico e sintassi delle espressioni idiomatiche. Una tipologia

tassonomica dell'italiano [Lexicon and syntax of idiomatic expressions. A taxonomic typology of Italian language] Napoli: Liguori, 1985, 534 p. (in Italian).

Zubkova, O.S., Medicinskaja metafora kak resultat kulturnoj fiksacii v medicinskoj terminologii [The medical metaphor as a result of cultural fixing in medical terminology], *Vestnik Leningradskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta Imeni A.S. Pushkina*, №5 (19), 2008, pp. 127–135 (in Russian).

Конфликты интересов: у авторов нет конфликта интересов для декларации.

Conflicts of Interest: the author have no conflict of interest to declare.

Anna Angela Mezzina, Assistance Lecturer, Department of Letters, Language, Arts, Italian Studies and Comparative Cultures, University of Bari Aldo Moro

Alessandra Marabini, Assistance Lecturer, Department of German and French Languages, Belgorod State National Research University